

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. DUP-001 10/647,593 08/25/2003 Tina L. Dupuis 2407 EXAMINER 32836 11/08/2004 7590 **GUERIN & RODRIGUEZ, LLP** KAUFFMAN, BRIAN K **5 MOUNT ROYAL AVENUE** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MOUNT ROYAL OFFICE PARK MARLBOROUGH, MA 01752 3765

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		#
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/647,593	DUPUIS, TINA L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian K Kauffman	3765
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periodates to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	 In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AE 	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The 3 This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matt	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subjected to by the Examination Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 25 August 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the cor	rawn from consideration. I/or election requirement. ner. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obline drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the Internation for a limit of the priority docume application fo	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [] Intonious	Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/8/2003. 	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawasato et al. (6,004,018). Kawasato et al. discloses a method for producing a craft project, the method comprising: displaying a graphical workspace on a display screen (fig.6); placing a plurality of images in the graphical workspace for inclusion in the craft project; producing a composite image from the plurality of images in the workspace; and converting the composite image into instructional information used to produce the craft project (col. 6, lines 35-39).

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weinreich (4,621,443). Weinreich discloses a method for producing a craft project, the method comprising: displaying a graphical workspace on a display screen (fig. 1); placing a plurality of images in the graphical workspace for inclusion in the craft project; producing a composite image from the plurality of images in the workspace; and converting the composite image into instructional information used to produce the craft project (col. 11, lines 16-19 and 57-59).

Claims 1, 3, and 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goldberg et al. (6,196,146). In regard to claims 1, 3, and 8-12, Goldberg et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,593 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

discloses a method for producing a craft project, the method comprising: displaying a graphical workspace on a display screen; placing a plurality of images in the graphical workspace for inclusion in the craft project; producing a composite image from the plurality of images in the workspace; and converting the composite image into instructional information used to produce the craft project (col. 3, lines 34-41).

In regard to claims 13-16, Goldberg et al. discloses a method for producing a craft project, the method comprising: transmitting a Web page to a client system, the Web page producing a graphical workspace on a display screen of the client system and enabling the user of the client system to produce a composite image from a plurality of images; receiving the composite image from the client system over a network; and converting the composite image into instructional information for use in generating the craft project (fig. 2 and fig. 3).

In regard to claims 17-20, Goldberg et al. discloses a network comprising a client system connected to a server system, the client system executing browser software for accessing a Web page hosted by the server system, the Web page producing graphical workspace on a screen display at the client system when downloaded from the server system, the Web page enabling the user of the client system to generate a composite image from a plurality of images placed into the graphical workspace by the user, the client system transmitting the composite image to the server system for conversion into instructional information for producing a craft project (fig. 3 and fig. 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/647,593

Art Unit: 3765

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasato et al. (6,004,018) in view of Brundige (4,310,313). In regard to claim 4, Kawasato et al. does not disclose mapping each thread position to a pixel of the composite image and associating the thread position with a color of the pixel. Brundige does disclose mapping each thread position to a pixel of the composite image and associating the thread position with a color of the pixel (fig. 2, col. 2, lines 61-67).

Mapping each thread position to a pixel of the composite image and associating the thread position with a color of the pixel provides easily understood and accurate instructional information. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kawasato et al.'s device to map each thread position to a pixel of the composite image and associating the thread position with a color of the pixel as taught by Brundige in order to provide easily understood and accurate instructional information.

Page 5

In regard to claim 5, Kawasato et al. does not disclose mapping each thread position to a thread type based on the color of the associated thread position. Brundige does disclose mapping each thread position to a thread type based on the color of the associated thread position (fig. 2, col. 2, lines 61-67). Mapping each thread position to a thread type based on the color of the associated thread position provides easily understood and accurate instructional information. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kawasato et al.'s device to map each thread position to a thread type based on the color of the associated thread position as taught by Brundige in order to provide easily understood and accurate instructional information.

In regard to claim 6, Kawasato et al. does not disclose that symbols are to be assigned to thread colors and associated with thread positions in a needlecraft pattern. Brundige does disclose that symbols are to be assigned to thread colors and associated with thread positions in a needlecraft pattern (fig. 2, col. 2, lines 61-67). Assigning symbols to thread colors and associating them with thread positions in a needlecraft

Art Unit: 3765

pattern provides easily understood and accurate instructional information. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kawasato et al.'s device to include assigning symbols to thread colors and associating them with thread positions in a needle craft pattern as taught by Brundige in order to provide easily understood and accurate instructional information.

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weinreich (4,621,443) in view of Brundige (4,310,313). In regard to claim 4, Weinreich does not disclose mapping each thread position to a pixel of the composite image and associating the thread position with a color of the pixel. Brundige does disclose mapping each thread position to a pixel of the composite image and associating the thread position with a color of the pixel (fig. 2, col. 2, lines 61-67). Mapping each thread position to a pixel of the composite image and associating the thread position with a color of the pixel provides easily understood and accurate instructional information. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Weinreich's device to map each thread position to a pixel of the composite image and associating the thread position with a color of the pixel as taught by Brundige in order to provide easily understood and accurate instructional information.

In regard to claim 5, Weinreich does not disclose mapping each thread position to a thread type based on the color of the associated thread position. Brundige does disclose mapping each thread position to a thread type based on the color of the associated thread position (fig. 2, col. 2, lines 61-67). Mapping each thread position to a thread type based on the color of the associated thread position provides easily

Art Unit: 3765

understood and accurate instructional information. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Weinreich's device to map each thread position to a thread type based on the color of the associated thread position as taught by Brundige in order to provide easily understood and accurate instructional information.

In regard to claim 6, Weinreich does not disclose that symbols are to be assigned to thread colors and associated with thread positions in a needlecraft pattern. Brundige does disclose that symbols are to be assigned to thread colors and associated with thread positions in a needlecraft pattern (fig. 2, col. 2, lines 61-67). Assigning symbols to thread colors and associating them with thread positions in a needlecraft pattern provides easily understood and accurate instructional information. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Weinreich's device to include assigning symbols to thread colors and associating them with thread positions in a needle craft pattern as taught by Brundige in order to provide easily understood and accurate instructional information.

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldberg et al. (6,196,146) in view of Brundige (4,310,313). In regard to claim 4, Goldberg et al. does not disclose mapping each thread position to a pixel of the composite image and associating the thread position with a color of the pixel. Brundige does disclose mapping each thread position to a pixel of the composite image and associating the thread position with a color of the pixel (fig. 2, col. 2, lines 61-67). Mapping each thread position to a pixel of the composite image and associating the

Page 8

thread position with a color of the pixel provides easily understood and accurate instructional information. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Goldberg et al.'s device to map each thread position to a pixel of the composite image and associating the thread position with a color of the pixel as taught by Brundige in order to provide easily understood and accurate instructional information.

In regard to claim 5, Goldberg et al. does not disclose mapping each thread position to a thread type based on the color of the associated thread position. Brundige does disclose mapping each thread position to a thread type based on the color of the associated thread position (fig. 2, col. 2, lines 61-67). Mapping each thread position to a thread type based on the color of the associated thread position provides easily understood and accurate instructional information. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Goldberg et al.'s device to map each thread position to a thread type based on the color of the associated thread position as taught by Brundige in order to provide easily understood and accurate instructional information.

In regard to claim 6, Goldberg et al. does not disclose that symbols are to be assigned to thread colors and associated with thread positions in a needlecraft pattern. Brundige does disclose that symbols are to be assigned to thread colors and associated with thread positions in a needlecraft pattern (fig. 2, col. 2, lines 61-67). Assigning symbols to thread colors and associating them with thread positions in a needlecraft pattern provides easily understood and accurate instructional information. It would have

Application/Control Number: 10/647,593

Art Unit: 3765

on control (tallibor: 10/0 ff,00

been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Goldberg et al.'s device to include assigning symbols to thread colors and associating them with thread positions in a needle craft pattern as taught by Brundige in order to provide easily understood and accurate instructional information.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: claim 7 specifically requires that the instructional information indicate which of those threads that are part of the craft project correspond to threads currently possessed by a craft worker.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K Kauffman whose telephone number is (703)605-4933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F every week.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,593 Page 10

Art Unit: 3765

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on (703)305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BKK

Peter Nerbun
Primary Examiner